

Reflection

Reflection

Heading: Being a member of the Blockchain Society committee has provided valuable insight into how this young organisation operates. However, several issues remain that require structured solutions.

1. Committee Structure and Need for Stronger Active Contribution

Rebuilding the committee within a blockchain-focused society is essential for ensuring operational stability and long-term growth. While interest in blockchain is rising rapidly, the committee's current structure still suffers from uneven participation and inconsistent ownership of responsibilities. This creates gaps in planning, slows down project execution, and limits the society's ability to deliver high-quality events.

To improve, the society should prioritise individuals who consistently demonstrate initiative, technical curiosity, and reliability. Bringing in highly engaged contributors—including alumni or external blockchain practitioners—can help replace inactive roles and create a more resilient leadership team. Establishing clearer expectations, accountability mechanisms, and structured workflows will support a more sustainable and productive committee environment.

2. High Application Numbers but Low Active Engagement

Although the society attracts many applicants, active participation remains lower than expected. This mismatch suggests that students are interested in blockchain as a concept but may feel uncertain about how to get involved, what skills are required, or what value they will gain from attending events.

Low engagement weakens community cohesion and reduces the impact of workshops, hackathons, and collaborative projects. It also makes it difficult to justify resource allocation or secure sponsorships. Improving onboarding clarity, communicating opportunities more effectively, and designing activities that encourage hands-on involvement can help convert initial interest into sustained participation.

3. Communication with Other Universities - Strong but Still Room to Grow

Compared with many student societies, communication with other top universities in the blockchain space is already relatively strong. Joint hackathons, shared speaker events, and cross-university networking have begun to take shape, demonstrating a promising foundation for collaboration.

However, there is still room to strengthen these connections further. More consistent communication channels, clearer coordination for multi-university initiatives, and proactive outreach to emerging blockchain groups can expand the society's influence and create richer opportunities for members. Enhancing these partnerships will help the society remain competitive, innovative, and well-connected within the broader academic blockchain ecosystem.